	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case Nos. 09-49040(CEC); 09-51313(CEC); 10-44189(CEC)
5	x
6	In the Matters of:
7	PREVENTION I, INC.,
8	Debtor.
9	x
10	304 WASHINGTON AVENUE, INC.,
11	Debtor.
12	x
13	MICHAEL I. FISCHMAN and SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN,
14	Debtors.
15	x
16	United States Bankruptcy Court
17	271 Cadman Plaza East
18	Brooklyn, New York
19	
20	November 3, 2010
21	3:27 PM
22	
23	BEFORE:
24	HON. CARLA E. CRAIG
25	CHIEF U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

	Page 2
1	
2	ORDER Scheduling Status Conference for the Purpose of
3	Determining an Appropriate Schedule for the Proper
4	Administration of This Case (09-49040(CEC) [9])
5	
6	MOTION to Dismiss Case or, in the Alternative, Convert Chapter
7	11 Case to Chapter 7 (09-49040(CEC) [25])
8	
9	ORDER Scheduling Status Conference for the Purpose of
10	Determining an Appropriate Schedule for the Proper
11	Administration of This Case (09-51313(CEC) [10])
12	
13	MOTION to Dismiss Case or, in the Alternative, Convert Chapter
14	11 Case to Chapter 7 (09-51313(CEC) [64])
15	
16	MOTION to Dismiss Case or, in the Alternative, Convert Chapter
17	11 Case to Chapter 7 (10-44189(CEC) [50])
18	
19	ORDER Scheduling Status Conference for the Purpose of
20	Determining an Appropriate Schedule for the Proper
21	Administration of This Case (10-44189(CEC) [7])
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Lisa Bar-Leib

		Page 3
1		
2	A P P	EARANCES:
3	WAYNE	GREENWALD P.C.
4		Attorneys for Debtors
5		475 Park Avenue South
6		26th Floor
7		New York, NY 10016
8		
9	ВҮ:	WAYNE GREENWALD, ESQ.
10		
11	UNITED	STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
12		Office of the United States Trustee
13		271 Cadman Plaza East
14		Suite 4529
15		Brooklyn, NY 11201
16		
17	BY:	WILLIAM E. CURTIN, ESQ.
18		
19	POLSIN	ELLI SHUGHART PC
2 0		Attorneys for City National Bank
21		7 Penn Plaza
22		New York, NY 10001
2 3		
2 4	BY:	JASON A. NAGI, ESQ.
25		

	Page 4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: Calling Fischman, Prevention I and 304
3	Washington. Appearances, please.
4	MR. GREENWALD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Wayne
5	Greenwald with Wayne Greenwald, P.C., attorney for the debtors.
6	Also with me is Michael Fischman, who is one of the debtors'
7	principal corporate debtor, and Hal Korcarz who is the
8	accountant.
9	MR. CURTIN: William Curtin for the United States
10	trustee, Your Honor.
11	MR. NAGI: Jason Nagi from the law firm of Polsinelli
12	Shughart on behalf of City National Bank, Your Honor. We filed
13	notices of presentment for us to be substituted as counsel in
14	In re Fischman. And we also filed a notice of appearance in
15	the
16	MR. GREENWALD: Prevention I.
17	MR. NAGI: Prevention I matter. We can present
18	Your Honor with chambers copies at this time if Your Honor
19	would like.
20	THE COURT: That's not necessary.
21	MR. NAGI: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: You may be seated. All right. Mr.
23	Curtin, should we start with your motion?
24	MR. CURTIN: Thank you, Your Honor. William Curtin
25	for the United States trustee. Your Honor, we have two

	Page 5
1	recently filed motions or semi-recently filed motions and one
2	motion that's been pending for some time. What we're seeking
3	is conversion of all three cases: the Fischman case, 304
4	Washington Avenue Inc. and Prevention I. Your Honor, all of
5	these this story started in this Court approximately a year
6	ago. And Mr. Fischman, of course, is the principal of
7	Prevention I which was the first case to file. So he has been
8	before Your Honor in one capacity or another for at least a
9	year. And that will become relevant when I address the
10	opposition that was filed.
11	I'd like to start with the Fischman cases, Your Honor,
12	because the other cases are, of course the facts are
13	similar. Your Honor, we seek dismissal of the Fischman under -
14	- for several reasons. The first has to do with and I'll
15	start with the easier grounds. One has to do with the timely
16	filing of operating reports. Your Honor, at this time, the
17	operating reports that are outstanding have been filed but they
18	have been consistently filed in an untimely manner.
19	Secondly, with regard to orders, disobeying orders of
20	the Court, 1112(b)(4)(E), there are two orders that we raise in
21	the motion. And in fact, as I'll go through when I go through
22	the opposition, the debtor, in fact, admits that it has not
23	followed those orders. Those two orders are, first, the con
24	what we refer to as the controlled entity reporting order which
25	Your Honor is familiar with because Mr. and Mrs. Fischman are

	Page 6
1	individual debtors and they own or control certain nondebtor
2	entities, they're required to under Rule 2015, as well as
3	the order expanding the requirements of 2015 that the Court
4	entered they're required to file controlled entity reports
5	regarding those nondebtor entities. They filed certain of
6	those reports. However, they did not file the report as the
7	motion points out for an entity called Carriage House. And
8	again, I'll get into that when I go through the opposition. If
9	Your Honor will indulge me, I'd like to go through the
10	opposition.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Give me the date when that order
12	was entered. And this is entered in the Fischman individual
13	MR. CURTIN: This is entered in the Fischman matter,
14	Your Honor. Just give me one moment. It should be ECF number
15	19, Your Honor. It should have been entered on July 2nd, I
16	believe.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. CURTIN: And the second order, Your Honor, which
19	in the opposition which I'll go through, the debtor admits it
20	didn't follow is the order that Your Honor entered for Fannie
21	Mae's in granting Fannie Mae's motion to lift the stay and
22	requiring certain documents be turned over both to Fannie Mae
23	and also to the United States trustee by date certain which, of
24	course, didn't happen. The information purportedly is provided
25	or attached to the opposition. I haven't been able to reach

Page 7
Fannie Mae's counsel and they're not here today so I don't know
if that's exactly what was supposed to be provided, but, quite
frankly, it's irrelevant. And just for the record, I'm
referring to the August 26th order of this Court which is ECF
number 48 on the docket which required, in relevant part, the
debtors to provide an accounting which I refer to in the motion
as the Yakaputz accounting to the Court, Fannie Mae and the
United States trustee no later than September 1st, 2010,
identifying the sources and use of all rents and security
deposits that came into the debtors' possession after the
petition date. And as I mentioned, that did not happen,
certainly did not happen even remotely timely and still I'm not
even sure whether it actually did happen.

The -- with regard to the gross mismanagement, Your Honor, we lay out literally pages of examples of gross mismanagement. But it really -- it centers around the debtors' use of an entity, a nondebtor entity known as Albany Commons, which is purported to be a management company and in many senses does operate as a management company for the debtors' properties. However, in looking at the reports that were filed by the debtor, both the operating repots and the controlled entity reports, it became apparent that funds were coming in to Albany Commons from the various real estate entities including the two debtor entities, 304 Washington and Prevention I, and then going out of Albany Commons to pay personal expenses. So,

Page 8

as I point out in the motion, it's somewhat of a new twist on an old story where it's not the debtor paying the personal expenses but it's really the same thing because the money's coming in and then going out to pay those personal expenses.

Your Honor, if you'll indulge me, I'd just like to go through the opposition because I think it's relevant in the sense that it admits many -- many of the allegations, the factual allegations that are raised in the motion. And my position would be that based upon those admissions, all that's left is for the Court to make a legal determination as to whether those allegations, as admitted, constitute cause to convert this case.

And, Your Honor, I'll just -- for -- trying to figure out the easiest way to do this, I think I'm just going to go through the opposition in order by paragraph I think is really the only way to do it because as Your Honor can tell, there's a lot of facts here and there -- it's really -- it seems more burdensome than it is. When it's boiled down, it's really not very complicated.

First of all, the debtors admit, paragraph 8 and in paragraph 9, they make the statement that "The Debtors, through the reorganization process, are learning how to manage their entities better." Your Honor, I couldn't let that go without commenting. Obviously, the Court knows that this is not -- the purpose of Chapter 11 is not for a debtor to learn how to

Page 9
operate its entities. And even if it is, even if some argument
could be made that in the initial stages of the case that may
be the practical reality, as I pointed out, Your Honor, the
debtors' principal and the debtor have been before the Court in
one capacity or another for a year. There's three pending
bankruptcy cases. And as they admit in paragraph 8, they, at
least for the past several months, have had the assistance of
an accountant. So the we-made-a-mistake excuse, which comes up
over and over again in the opposition, is not even as
compelling as it might be in other situations. And remarkably,
in paragraph 18, the debtor talks about now employing two
bookkeepers with whom he works daily again, as we point out,
the money in this case is extremely tight and now we're talking
about two additional employees. And as I pointed it out, even
up through the September operating reports which are the most
recent that we have, the mismanagement continues. Okay.
With regard to the debtors' allegation on in
paragraph 27 which states, and I'll read, "It was agreed upon
by the Debtors' professionals and the Trustee's office that
continuing Albany Commons as management for the Debtors' four
Albany, New York properties was appropriate." Now that's not
entirely accurate, Your Honor. The Albany Commons' existence,
of course, was disclosed to the U.S. trustee and to the Court
and the debtor has been reporting. But what the debtor seems
to misunderstand is that reporting alone is not what's

	Page 10
1	required. The reporting gives us the information. And when we
2	discovered the mismanagement based upon the reporting, it
3	doesn't mean that just because the reporting was okay that
4	everything is okay.
5	Secondly and this would be one of the admissions
6	that I was pointing out with regard to the 1112(b)(4)(E) cause
7	of action. And that's at paragraph 29 and 30. It admits that
8	no controlled entity reports were filed for Carriage House.
9	And somewhat incredulously in paragraph 30, it says that the
10	debtor was not aware or did not remember hearing during the
11	trustee's initial meeting that reports for this entity were
12	required. Now certainly, this reporting was discussed at the
13	meeting. But the obligation, of course, comes from both the
14	Code or, I'm sorry, the rules and this Court's order which
15	says all entities and I believe might even mention them by
16	name.
17	THE COURT: Well, let's look.
18	MR. CURTIN: I was going I don't have the order
19	itself.
20	THE COURT: I'm looking at it.
21	MR. CURTIN: I believe that and
22	(Pause)
23	THE COURT: Okay. No. That's a different order.
24	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, but when I sit down
25	THE COURT: Give me the date on that order again.

	Page 11
1	MR. CURTIN: July 2nd, Your Honor. And I have it
2	somewhere in that stack. When I sit down, I'm sure I can find
3	it.
4	(Pause)
5	THE COURT: It was the order directed the debtors
6	to file reports containing information with regards to any
7	entity in which there holds a controlling or a twenty percent
8	interest including the entities which included which were
9	named
10	MR. CURTIN: And, Your Honor
11	THE COURT: and which specifically included
12	Carriage House Development.
13	MR. CURTIN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I
14	apologize for not having that order
15	THE COURT: No. Go ahead.
16	MR. CURTIN: in front of me. Next, Your Honor
17	the next admission comes at paragraph 36 and 37. And that
18	refers to the August 26th order which, as I read before,
19	required the accounting to be turned over to to be filed
20	with the Court and turned over to us and turned over to Fannie
21	Mae's counsel. And in paragraph 37, the debtor admits that it
22	failed to provide that accounting.
23	Moving on to and, Your Honor, I kind of glossed
24	over it but in the beginning of the motion, the debtor
25	discusses let's find the paragraph with regard to the

the reporting in this case hasn't been timely.

operating report allegation I refer Your Honor to paragraph
10 regarding preparing the monthly operating reports being an
onerous task, and 13, where he says there were improvements in
filing the report. And specifically, number 12 where it says
that the reports were not submitted in as timely a manner as
the debtor would have liked. While it's not as clear an
admission as some of the others, it certainly acknowledges that

Your Honor, with regard to the gross mismanagement that's alleged in the motion, the admissions with regard to that cause of action start on paragraph 41 on page 6 of the opposition. And I want to point Your Honor's attention to certain words in the opposition starting in paragraph 42 where the debtors -- it talks about the Fischmans using Albany Commons debit card when they either had no cash available or an item, and I quote, "usually construction related". So, in other words, they're saying that in certain cases as we point out, these expenses were not construction or real estate related. The debtors then going into, starting at paragraph 43, the fact that they do not have a credit or debit card and that the debit or credit card of Albany Commons had to, in their position -- their position is that the Albany Commons debit card had to be used for these expenses because they didn't have another card. Your Honor, it's not a convincing argument. It's still -- the bottom line is that personal

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 12

Page 13
expenses were paid from Albany Commons. We've pointed them out
in the motion. They the debtors state, at paragraph 44,
that the items have been paid back to Albany Commons. However,
as we progress through the opposition, you'll see that they
even admit that they haven't all been paid back. And I would
submit to you that paying it back is great. It's still gross
mismanagement to do it in the first place. But it certainly is
gross mismanagement when certain of those expenses haven't been
repaid. And specifically, I would refer Your Honor to
paragraph 54 where the opposition states that "there was one
personal expense of \$179.50 for theater tickets which has not
been repaid" I'm sorry "which was not repaid". And also
paragraph 55, where the debtor talks about an approximately
thirty dollar payment to Club Penguin for a personal expense
related to the debtors' daughter which also is not repaid.
Those are the outright admissions. And then we get,
in paragraph 53, an admission that a personal expense for a car
for Mr. Fischman was paid a rental car for Mr. Fischman of
just over 300 dollars was paid. And then, if Your Honor will
recall in the motion, a couple of the expenses that we pointed
out as examples were from a spa facility. The debtor alleges
that the spa charges, of which there were a few, were for
treatment of an injury incurred during construction.
Your Honor, moving on and again focusing on the
language of the opposition, in paragraph 691, the debtor notes

	MICHAEL FISCHMAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN
	Page 14
1	that we questioned a \$573.67 expense. And the debtors'
2	response to that is the debtors believe that these monies were
3	used for building supplies for 304 Washington Avenue again,
4	a debtor with an accountant, with an attorney that's been
5	before Your Honor for over a year.
6	Moving on, Your Honor, to another example beyond the
7	comingling of gross mismanagement and that is the hiring and
8	payment of professionals without a court order. Your Honor, we
9	raised that in the motion. And in paragraphs 74 and 75, the
10	debtors admit that they did, in fact, retain this appraiser and
11	did, in fact, pay the appraiser and they didn't seek court
12	approval for either the retention or for the payment.
13	Going back to the comingling piece of the gross
14	mismanagement and moving on to the next phase of the
15	opposition, in paragraph 77, when we point out certain
16	discrepancies between the rent roll and the reported income of
17	which there are several which continue to this day, the
18	debtors' response is, "It is apparently the result of a vacant
19	apartment." Again, Your Honor, a debtor that's been a debtor-
20	in-possession in some capacity for a year.
21	And similarly, paragraph 79, under the I'm sorry.
22	Let me yes. Paragraph 79, where the debtor responds to an
23	allegation saying "Nine dollars was paid to an accountant to
24	correct an error made by a bookkeeper who was later

discharged." No information about who this bookkeeper is, what

25

Page 15

the payment was for, so on and so forth.

And lastly, Your Honor, in paragraph 83 -- lastly with regard to the admissions, paragraph 83 discusses an issue that may be familiar with the Court. I believe it came up during a hearing regarding the unauthorized loan. It gives a reason; it gives an excuse. Whatever it is, it is. But it admits that there was an unauthorized loan.

Your Honor, the -- we would submit that based upon the allegations that we made in the motion coupled with the admissions that are made in the opposition, Your Honor has a clear picture of undisputed facts; in a sense, a stipulation of facts. And the remaining job to be done is a legal determination as to whether, under 1112(b)(4)(B), (F) and (E), cause exists to convert these cases. And we would submit to the Court that cause does exist and that the cases must be converted.

I'll just -- just briefly, the dismissal versus conversion conundrum, in the case of 304 Washington and, to a lesser extent, Prevention I, under different circumstances I may be advocating for dismissal. However, since these cases are -- we do have the three related cases. I think that there are, or at least may be, assets in the Fischman matter for a trustee to liquidate for the benefit of creditors. And, of course, if the trustee chose to do so, he or she could operate the business for some time. So the point of this is that I

	Page 16
1	think it makes sense to take the same action with each of the
2	three cases and convert.
3	THE COURT: Well, if a trustee's appointed in the
4	Fischman case, and Mr. Fischman is the hundred percent owner
5	MR. CURTIN: Correct.
6	THE COURT: of each of these entities.
7	MR. CURTIN: So it's
8	THE COURT: So the trustee would then become
9	MR. CURTIN: Even absent conversion of the two
10	THE COURT: person who is in control of
11	MR. CURTIN: other cases, that's correct, Your
12	Honor. So for practical purposes, it would be the same thing.
13	So that's
14	THE COURT: And it would be up to that that trustee
15	could decide at that point whether he wanted to convert the
16	other two cases or she.
17	MR. CURTIN: Right. That could I think there's
18	cause in all three cases, but yes, to answer Your Honor's
19	question.
20	THE COURT: In other words, we don't have to get into
21	the facts of the other three cases.
22	MR. CURTIN: Right. And, Your Honor, the facts are
23	similar because, again, it all goes back but yes, that's
24	correct.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

	Page 17
1	MR. CURTIN: Subject to Your Honor's questions, that's
2	all I have.
3	THE COURT: No. I don't have any questions at this
4	time.
5	MR. CURTIN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	MR. GREENWALD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Wayne
7	Greenwald for the debtors. Initially, Your Honor, I apologize
8	for the timing of the filing of the response. I was not well
9	and I had a difficulty. But I want to apologize for it
10	nonetheless. I understand that they were read and I thank you
11	for doing that. Thank you.
12	As far as 304 Washington and
13	THE COURT: Let's talk about Mr. Fischman's case.
14	MR. GREENWALD: Okay. On the Fischman case, number
15	one, these debtors are honest debtors. They've disclosed
16	everything. They've been completely transparent about what's
17	going on.
18	THE COURT: That's not really true, actually. That's
19	not really true.
20	MR. GREENWALD: Where is Your Honor seeing that they
21	were not transparent?
22	THE COURT: Well, they didn't provide the reports that
23	they were supposed to provide on Yakaputz on the day that
24	when they were supposed to provide it. And they didn't provide
25	operating reports on Carriage House at all.

	Page 18
1	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, number one, for Yakaputz,
2	Yakaputz was provided to the receiver. The Yakaputz accounting
3	was provided to the receiver in the beginning of October. And
4	it states in there that we had provided it to the receiver as
5	long as all monies are there required.
6	THE COURT: The order that was required the order
7	that was entered
8	MR. GREENWALD: There's no question that it happened
9	after the date the order required, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Well, it wasn't and it was required
11	let's see. Print that order out, would you, Tracy? All right.
12	That's this order. All right. That by no later than September
13	1, 2010, the debtors are required to deliver to an accounting
14	to the Court, Fannie Mae and the Office of the United States
15	Trustee for the sources and uses of all rents and security
16	deposits that came into their possession, trust and control
17	after the petition date. That wasn't done.
18	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, that wasn't done on time
19	but it was done.
20	THE COURT: When was it done? Was that ever filed
21	here?
22	MR. GREENWALD: I don't believe that I filed it here.
23	However, it was provided to the receiver.
24	THE COURT: Well
25	MR. GREENWALD: I did not receive a copy.

	Page 19
1	THE COURT: If it wasn't provided
2	MR. GREENWALD: I did not
3	THE COURT: The order requires it to be provided
4	provide a delivery and accounting to the Court. Now that means
5	file it on the docket. Or send it but you send it to Court,
6	right? I remember what you did with Fannie Mae. But you
7	apparently didn't provide it to the Office of the United States
8	Trustee. And ever.
9	MR. GREENWALD: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So that is an order of the Court that was
11	not complied with. And that, under Section 1112
12	MR. GREENWALD: Can be cause.
13	THE COURT: Is cause.
14	MR. GREENWALD: All right. Is -
15	THE COURT: Is cause.
16	MR. GREENWALD: Is defined as
17	THE COURT: Cause includes
18	MR. GREENWALD: Is defined as one that would make good
19	cause.
20	THE COURT: failure to comply with an order of the
21	Court. There was an order of the Court. It wasn't complied
22	with. And it was an order that required disclosure and an
23	accounting and it wasn't complied with. So to stand there and
24	tell me that these debtors have disclosed everything is simply
25	not accurate.

	Page 20
1	MR. GREENWALD: Well, they disclosed it but they
2	disclosed it late.
3	THE COURT: They didn't disclose it to the Court and
4	they didn't disclose it to the U.S. trustee.
5	MR. GREENWALD: Well, Your Honor, it is also contained
6	in our response.
7	THE COURT: Well, if it's two months late, it's not
8	timely.
9	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor
10	THE COURT: The order
11	MR. GREENWALD: the fact
12	THE COURT: An order a deadline in an order is not
13	just
14	MR. GREENWALD: I'm
15	THE COURT: a guideline.
16	MR. GREENWALD: No argument with that, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Whether or not it's in your
18	response or adequately covered in your response, it's sixty
19	days late.
20	MR. GREENWALD: You're
21	THE COURT: And that's not compliance with an order of
22	this Court.
23	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, if you'll recall, I said
24	that they had been transparent, that many of the things that
25	the trustee was able to was able to say is because they gave

	Page 21
1	complete disclosure of all of their finances that were going
2	on. They've been candid; they have been honest. Carriage
3	House? Carriage House has not been in operation. And that was
4	their mistake. But upon learning that they had to go do it for
5	inactive entities, they prepared an MOR for the Carriage House.
6	But they have been providing MORs at beginning. MORs and the
7	controlled entity reports were late, unquestionably.
8	Unquestionably. But that lateness was decreased. To the
9	extent that September was filed on time we expect to file
10	October early. And for August, it was two days late. They now
11	have it under control.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Greenwald, 1112 is pretty clear
13	as accurate was amended in 2005. It's pretty clear what
14	constitutes cause. Failure to comply with an order of the
15	Court constitutes cause. And when cause exists, conversion or
16	dismissal is mandatory unless you can satisfy the requirement
17	of 1112(b)(2).
18	MR. GREENWALD: Of excuse
19	THE COURT: So
20	MR. GREENWALD: and that's been cured. And there
21	has been cure. We have brought forward the missing operating
22	reports or the late operating
23	THE COURT: So what's the excuse
24	MR. GREENWALD: We are now current.
25	THE COURT: What's the excuse?

	Page 22
1	MR. GREENWALD: I can only say it was a learning
2	curve, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: That's the I reject that as an excuse.
4	This is a person who's been in this court, involved with this
5	process for, as Mr. Curtin points out, the better part of this
6	year. And he's got an accountant.
7	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, also, one of the
8	problems
9	THE COURT: I don't find that to be an excuse.
10	MR. GREENWALD: Okay. Also, one of
11	THE COURT: If you want to put Mr. Fischman on the
12	if you want I think what has happened here what is
13	happening here is that a a case has been made by the U.S.
14	trustee that is certainly a prima facie case. He has shown
15	that there is cause as defined by the statute to convert or
16	dismiss this case. So now the burden lies with the debtor to
17	establish if the debtor chooses to try to do so, that one of
18	the exceptions to the that some exception to the requirement
19	exists.
20	MR. GREENWALD: If Your Honor would allow us to have
21	an evidentiary hearing, that would be fine.
22	THE COURT: Call your first witness.
23	MR. GREENWALD: If I may just have five minutes with
24	Mr. Fischman before I put him on?
25	THE COURT: No.

	Page 23
1	MR. GREENWALD: Okay. Your Honor, I'll call Mr.
2	Fischman.
3	THE WITNESS: Your Honor
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fischman, you need to take the
5	witness stand.
6	(Pause)
7	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand?
8	(Witness sworn)
9	THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your name
10	for the Court?
11	THE WITNESS: Michael Fischman. M-I-C-H-A-E-L,
12	F-I-S-C-H-M-A-N.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. May I begin, Your Honor?
14	MR. GREENWALD: Mr. Fischman, I have to ask you a
15	question.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Fischman, this is a process where you
18	respond to questions that are asked of you and you don't speak
19	unless there's a question pending. If there's an objection,
20	you do not speak until the objection's been ruled on.
21	THE WITNESS: Can I make the objection?
22	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
23	THE WITNESS: May I make the objection?
24	THE COURT: No. The objection you are the witness.
25	An objection will be made by other parties

	Page 24
1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: if there are any objections to be made.
3	You may begin your inquiry, Mr. Greenwald.
4	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GREENWALD:
7	Q. Mr. Fischman, you've heard that you were late in providing
8	the accounting to on Yakaputz.
9	A. Correct, yes.
10	Q. All right. Can you explain the reason why you were late?
11	A. Totally blocked it out. This has been an incredibly
12	confusing experience for me. I, in all good faith, was not
13	even aware that this had a timeline on it. So it was only when
14	I was answering the motion that I became aware that I had
15	reneged on answering this as quickly as I should have. But it
16	was like a total confusing experience for me. It was not an
17	intentional act. It was an act of just an unwitting act, a
18	total block-out. This has been an incredibly anxiety producing
19	experience for me. I am taking medication in order to sleep.
20	I can't sleep. You know, this is my life's work here. And I
21	have family at stake and I have a wife at stake. And, you
22	know, this was just like a total loss of consciousness
23	Q. Mr. Fischman
24	A on my part. Yeah?
25	Q. All right. Have there been any have there been other,

	Page 25
1	for lack of a better term, distractions in your family?
2	A. Well, you know, my daughter
3	(Pause)
4	A. I have trouble talking.
5	THE WITNESS: Can I take a moment to
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	THE WITNESS: to recover?
8	THE COURT: Do you want to provide Mr. Fischman
9	THE WITNESS: Could I go to the restroom?
10	THE COURT: with a glass of water?
11	MR. GREENWALD: Okay. Thank you.
12	(Pause)
13	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you for this intermission, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE WITNESS: Thanks. I don't know if it's
16	going to help too much.
17	A. Talking about my daughter might be too emotional for me.
18	So if we could deal with some other questions?
19	Q. All right. On the monthly operating reports
20	A. Yes.
21	Q that were filed late
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. All right? Can you explain why they were filed late?
24	A. You know, we did everything in our power I've had two
25	people assisting me as bookkeepers for quite a while. This is

	Page 26
1	not a new this is not a new event. The first bookkeeper was
2	hired as soon as we filed as soon as we filed. We had
3	trouble with the previous bookkeeper. She was doing everything
4	wrong. And that's actually where that 900 dollar check went in
5	order to make corrections of the MORs that she was submitting.
6	So I've been working with a bookkeeper. I've been trying very
7	hard to get these things in time. It's been an extremely
8	tedious process because there's so many different things to
9	record.
10	So we've tried very hard. And usually we get everything
11	to my accountant in time but sometimes there's a delay.
12	Actually, it comes from the final product, putting it together.
13	Q. The first operating report was the accountant retained
14	yet?
15	A. I don't recall.
16	Q. All right.
17	A. I don't
18	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I think the record will
19	reflect that Mr. Korcarz was not yet retained at that time.
20	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Greenwald's
21	testifying.
22	THE COURT: Whose fault is that? It wouldn't be I
23	mean, that's not I don't think the fact that you haven't
24	retained your accountant is an excuse for not filing an
25	operating report on time.

	Page 27
1	MR. GREENWALD: However, Your Honor, in an effort to
2	manage correctly, he did retain an accountant and replaced
3	bookkeepers so things would be done on time correctly.
4	Q. And, Mr. Fischman, was the
5	A. If I could just add to that, one of the reasons they were
6	not done on time is 'cause I was not in the routine. You know,
7	we went from reporting one entity to suddenly reporting ten or
8	eleven different entities. This was like a very different
9	procedure. It was very hard to get that I mean
10	Q. Have you succeeded in getting them in on time?
11	A. Yes. They're coming in not time. We're getting the
12	process. You know, it's a
13	Q. The last operating report was for September. Was that in
14	on time?
15	A. Yes, it was.
16	Q. The operating report for August
17	A. I believe that was three days late.
18	Q. Okay. And the operating report for July?
19	A. I'm not I think it was about six days late or seven
20	days late. But again, it's
21	Q. Well, but for
22	A getting into the swing of it. It's
23	Q. Right. The operating report for
24	A. It's like a business. It's like a
25	Q. The operating report for October, when do you anticipate

Page 28 that being filed? 1 We could probably have that filed the latest by the 15th. So you expect to be able to file that before the deadline? Yes. We have a new procedure. What we do is we tally up every week. What we were doing before is we were waiting till 5 the end of the month for a tally. So now what we do is we do it every week so at the end of the month it's all there. And 7 we just have to wait for the bank statements. 9 Okay. There was discretion --10 MR. GREENWALD: Excuse me, Your Honor. It looked like 11 Your Honor was about to ask a question. THE COURT: No. 12 13 In the response, there's discussion about payments that were made to either Albany Commons by tenants or to Yakaputz by 14 15 tenants. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Can you describe what was done to those and why that 18 happened? Well, we have a credit card vending machine and some of 19 20 the tenants pay through the credit card. So that card is -- we only have that through Albany Commons. So some of the rents go 21 22 directly to Albany Commons. Some of the people in Albany, because the billing is on Albany Commons' stationery, 23 automatically make their checks to Albany Commons even though 24 25 it says on the invoice make it out to 572 or whatever the

Page 29 entity is, they still automatically make it out. 1 So when we 2 get the checks, we put it into Albany Commons. Right. 3 Q. And then the money is paid back to the entity unless, as it is, there's been substantial construction that's been going 5 6 on on Prevention I's property. And the funds for that have been left in Albany Commons to disburse all the payments of 7 Home Depo and salaries and so, mainly for construction costs. 9 For the billing practices, have you changed the way 10 tenants receive bills? 11 Α. Yes. Can you describe how it works now? 12 Q. 13 Well, the tenants in the Brooklyn properties receive an invoice that basically has my name on it and make checks out to 14 15 Michael Fischman. When we were billing for Yakaputz before the 16 receiver was in, we were billing under Yakaputz stationery. And now that we found out that Carriage House Development is 17 18 still alive -- I didn't realize the corporation was still alive. That's why we didn't file reports for it. We're using 19 20 that stationery again for billing in Brooklyn. So we're using that as a management company again. We were originally billing 21 everybody through Carriage House Development. All the billing 22 to all the buildings in Albany and New York that was just being 23 used as a billing company, that has stopped. Now it's being 24 25 billed separately through Albany Commons for the Albany

	Page 30
1	properties and through either Fischman directly or through
2	Carriage House now that they've been reinstated for billing.
3	So
4	Q. There was reference made to - I mean where you acknowledge
5	that there was a payment for there's Club Penguin and also
6	for theater tickets which had not been reimbursed.
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. Is it your intention to reimburse?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor. If I may and I
11	apologize in advance for the speaking objection. But my
12	understanding was that the Court had pointed out that we had
13	proven our case regarding 1112(b)(4)(E) and that now the burden
14	had shifted to the debtor to show that the case should not be
15	converted or dismissed under (b)(2). And now we're moving on
16	to the gross mismanagement grounds which I don't believe, at
17	this point, are relevant. If there's cause under subsection
18	1112(b)(4)(E) then really the only issue is whether the debtor
19	can satisfy its burden under 1112(b)(2) which these questions
20	have nothing to do with.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to permit Mr. Greenwald to allow
22	his testimony to introduce testimony about all of the
23	grounds for conversion or dismissal that were put on the record
24	when you during your presentation.
25	MR. CURTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 31 THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 2 MR. GREENWALD: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead, please. 3 If you would answer the question? 4 Q. I'm sorry. Could you reframe it? 5 6 Q. The question was the amount for the theater tickets and for Club Penguin, is it your intention to pay that back? 7 Yes. Α. 9 And when do you intend to pay that back? 10 I'll pay it back at any point. But it was -- basically, 11 the Penguin was for my daughter, something for the computer. 12 And actually, the tickets were for a birthday. We went to the 13 theater. Okay. But do you intend --14 I used Albany Commons 'cause we don't have a credit card. 15 16 All right. Now do you account for all of the expenses for which the debit card is used? 17 Yes, absolutely 18 Α. 19 Q. All right. 20 Α. I account for them. 21 And do you pay them back? 22 Yes. Yes, we do. Α. And do the entities pay them back? 23 Q. 24 Yes. Α. 25 And they're recorded?

Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/12/10 12:04:38 Case 1-10-44189-cec Doc 67

MICHAEL FISCHMAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN Page 32 Everything is recorded. 1 Α. And are there -- is it possible to tell what entity has 2 used the credit card and paid it back? Yes. Yes, it is possible. Α. And why is it that Albany Commons had a debit card? 5 Q. 6 Α. Because it's not a DIP account which, as the Court knows, with a DIP account you can't get a debit card. So Albany 7 Commons is not a DIP account. 9 Do you have a credit card? Q. I have a debit card. 10 11 Right. But do you individually have a credit card? Q. No. 12 Α. 13 So would you be able to make any purchases for which a Q. debit or a credit card or other form of plastic device would be 14 15 used? 16 Α. No. All right. Have you ever tried to make purchases for 17 18 yourself using a check? That can be done if you're paying a bill but not if you're 19 20 buying construction supplies or theater tickets, for example, or chalking a child's --21

All right. And for what other purposes is it used? 24 Q.

22

23

Primarily.

25 Paying -- it's been used for fuel costs. Sometimes the

And the debit card is used for construction supplies?

Page 33

- charge for fuel -- everything's related to the real estate.
- 2 Q. Okay. To --
- 3 A. Occasionally -- I have occasionally used it for personal
- 4 charges but then we pay it back.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Then we pay it -- it's when there's no cash available. I
- 7 have no option --
- 8 Q. Do you anticipate that there will ever be another occasion
- 9 where the electricity bill will not be paid on your home?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 | Q. And what have you done to make sure that that doesn't
- 12 arise?
- 13 A. Well, we're staying current with our electric bill.
- 14 Q. So you're current on --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- electricity bills? What would have happened if you
- 17 didn't do what you did with, for lack of a better term,
- 18 | borrowing the money from Yakaputz?
- 19 A. Well, our office would have shut down because the
- 20 administrative offices is adjoined to my home in Westchester.
- 21 | I would not have been able to see patients there. I see
- 22 patients there also. My kids would have come home from school
- 23 and they would have had no electricity.
- 24 Q. All right. But in any event --
- 25 A. We had no other avenue for getting money to get the

MICHAEL FISCHMAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN Page 34 electric back on within a reasonable period of time. 1 2 So you've taken steps so this will never happen again? Α. Yes. Tranquility Spa. Could you describe how that came about? 4 Q. 5 Well, I hurt myself. Α. 6 Q. What did you do? I was lifting some stuff over at 508 and I hurt my 7 shoulder. And, I mean, I must admit, I don't have a great shoulder to begin with. But this is -- I went for a medical 9 10 massage --11 Q. Okay. -- and I charged it as a business expense --12 13 Okay. Q. -- against Albany Commons. 14 Because that happened --15 Q. 16 That happened ---- in connection with the work that was being done. 17 18 -- on construction site, yeah. Despite the fact that I had a bad shoulder to begin with but it got irritated from 19 20 that. So I used it as a business expense. 21 Okay. What have you been able to do in connection with the reorganization process? 22

Well -- thanks. I appreciate it. Well, you mean, in

Do you have any possibility of selling of assets?

terms of depending --

23

24

25

Page 35

- 1 A. Yes. Yes. We have a contract for sale for one of the
- 2 properties in Albany. We have a signed contract for sale of
- 3 the Brooklyn property, 886. We have a low offer on 154.
- 4 THE COURT: You have a what?
- 5 THE WITNESS: A low offer. The offer is very low.
- 6 We've countered with a --
- 7 Q. There's a bid for 154?
- 8 A. Specifically, it was a two million offer and we're
- 9 | countering -- it's being offered at 2.9. So that was low and
- 10 we countered with 2.75. So that's pending. We also have a
- 11 partnership in an ongoing -- for Yakaputz --
- 12 Q. For Yakaputz?
- 13 A. -- where fifty percent of the shares of Yakaputz are being
- 14 sold.
- 15 Q. Could you describe that?
- 16 A. It's a 260 something thousand dollar buy-in for fifty
- 17 percent of Yakaputz. Those monies would be used to satisfy the
- 18 | arrears to Fannie Mae and reinstate the existing mortgage. And
- 19 we would then operate with that mortgage with the fifty percent
- 20 partnership in the corporation that owns it.
- 21 Q. Is there a term sheet for that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. All right. And what do you see as the next step? What do
- you see as the next step in that process?
- 25 A. Well, to get approval by the Court. And once the Court

Page 36 approves that, we'll approach Fannie Mae. And hopefully, 1 that'll be an easy transition and we'll be able to reinstate 2 3 Yakaputz without a Chapter 11. Okay. With respect to Prevention I, is there a proposed Q. sale on that property? 5 6 Α. There's a proposed -- there's a contract for 695,000. And -- but you were still waiting for the paper on that. 7 But there is a contract out there being circulated, is that 9 right? 10 Yes. You're in touch -- you're in contact with the 11 attorney. MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I can represent that I was 12 13 in contact with the broker and I have contacted the buyer's counsel but I am waiting to hear back from them. I have been 14 sent a copy of the proposed contract. 15 16 On 304, can you describe your efforts to reorganize there? Yes. What we're hoping to do is reinstate the mortgage, 17 Α. 18 the first mortgage, through Haven Capital. We're in a position to pay the arrears on that. However, we have not gotten 19 20 cooperation from --What have we been waiting for? 21 Q. We're waiting for the amount that's owed. 22 Have we asked for it? 23 Ο. We've been asking for it for about a month and a half or 24 25 longer, I think.

	WIICHAEL FISCHWAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHWAN
	Page 37
1	Q. Okay. Do you have the how do you intend to reinstate
2	that mortgage?
3	A. We would have the cash. My wife has a very good friend
4	that will put up the cash and become a part owner of the
5	property or give us the mortgage on the property.
6	Q. Could you describe the pending deal with 886?
7	A. 886, we have a signed contract to do a short sale.
8	Q. Are the buyers taking any action to pursue that?
9	A. They're currently negotiating with the bank.
10	Q. Okay.
11	MR. GREENWALD: And, Your Honor, I would represent
12	that we would be making a motion for authority to sell upon
13	hearing from the buyers that they have achieved an accord with
14	the bank. Until then, it may be premature to make that motion
15	but we make it nonetheless.
16	THE COURT: Well, if the bank won't accept less than
17	it's owed then it's pointless.
18	MR. GREENWALD: Except that except that the bank
19	after seeing the motion to approve the sale may decide that it
20	will buy it in and therefore own it as opposed or it may
21	decide that, you know what, I'll take the money. However, at
22	this point, the bank has not been active with respect to that
23	property. So this way, we're taking action so that something
24	can be done with that property.

THE WITNESS: May I say something?

25

	Page 38
1	MR. GREENWALD: Yes.
2	THE WITNESS: I would just like to say something to
3	the Court that
4	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fischman, your role is to
6	THE WITNESS: Answer questions.
7	THE COURT: respond to questions that are put to
8	you.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	BY MR. GREENWALD:
11	Q. Mr. Fischman, are you responding to or are you adding to a
12	question that I previously asked you?
13	A. I'm adding to all the questions
14	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	MR. GREENWALD: I was just going to ask which
17	question.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	Q. There's been work in improving the building, is that
20	correct?
21	A. That is correct. The 508 we are currently completing a
22	fifth apartment which will increase the cash flow approximately
23	1250 a month. Puts the building at a substantially cash
24	positive positive cash flow.
25	Q. How much time do you think you need to be able to finalize

Page 39 these agreements that you've described? 1 2 I would like sixty days to revisit it. Hopefully be 3 completed in sixty days so I could revisit it at that point. Hopefully, it'll be accomplished by then. Within that sixty day period, do you anticipate being able 5 to make a motion to the Court for approval of these transactions? 7 Yes, I do. Α. 9 (Pause) 10 The one thousand dollars that you used for an appraiser, Ο. 11 why did you do that? I did it because I thought that's what we were doing. 12 13 were -- Haven had made a comment that the property that's owned by 304 Washington was valued at approximately what they say we 14 15 owe them. We were talking, you and I, counsel and I, that we 16 should do an appraisal on the property to show them what the 17 actual value was. I was not aware that I needed to get 18 authorization from the Court. And what happened when you found out that -- or what 19 20 happened when I found out that you did an appraisal? I don't think I should use those words here but you got 21 Α. pretty pissed off. 22 Did I --23 Ο. What? 24 Α. 25 Did I know you were getting an appraisal?

Page 40

- 1 A. No. No. I thought you did but apparently, after it was
- done, you said, well, you know, that had to get approved first.
- Again, I am not a professional at doing this. I --
- 4 Q. And when I --
- 5 A. So --
- 6 Q. When I found out, did I tell you that you cannot hire any
- 7 professionals without court order?
- 8 A. Yes, you did.
- 9 Q. Do you expect to be hiring anybody other than maybe
- 10 construction workers which, in the ordinary course of
- 11 business -- do you anticipate hiring anybody except for maybe
- 12 construction workers to do the work on the premises in the
- ordinary course without a court order?
- 14 A. No. No, not aside from construction workers. We are
- 15 trying to get the approval of a mortgage banker to negotiate.
- 16 We still haven't gotten that approval.
- 17 Q. You've heard Mr. Curtin refer to various payments that
- 18 came out of Albany Commons or Yakaputz --
- 19 A. I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. Thank you. You've heard Mr. Curtin refer to various
- 21 payments that he considered commingling with respect to Albany
- 22 Commons or Yakaputz and the various entities.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you describe what those payments were for and how the
- 25 accounting was done for them?

Page 41 I'm sorry. I can't unless we're specific --1 Α. 2 Okay. Q. -- to --Α. Albany Commons --Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 -- made payments on account of construction work, correct? 7 Α. Yes. All right. Was it paid for that work? Q. 9 Yes. Α. The work was done for who? 10 11 Well, at one period of time, there was work done on 304. We renovated an apartment there, apartment number 5. I 12 13 provided pictures for the Court. 14 Pictures are included within the opposition, is that correct? 15 16 Yes. Yes. And we also -- there were times when we used Albany Commons to pay for construction supplies for Yakaputz 17 18 for Apartment number 7 because we couldn't charge it any other way. So that was actually before I had -- I think it was 19 20 before I had -- I'm not sure if that was before I had a debit card -- the Yakaputz. Yakaputz only recently got those debit 21 22 cards. So --23 Were payments --Q. Yeah. It was paid back. 24 It was paid back. For the other properties other than --25

Page 42 Well, 508, it's been quite a big expense because --1 Α. Well, 508 is Prevention I, correct? 2 Q. -- they over-budget -- what? 3 501 (sic) is Prevention I? Q. 5 Α. 508. 6 508 is Prevention I, is that right? Yeah. I mean, we're just about finishing up now. 7 I'm actually going to be up there tomorrow to meet with the 9 purchaser because he wants to go over some stuff with me. But 10 we're finishing that one up now. That one went pretty overbudget. But I charged through Albany Commons. No other way to 11 pay for Home Depo. 12 13 Is there a way for you to, in the future, avoid using -you individually, to avoid using the debit card, Albany 14 15 Commons' debit card? 16 You mean, for personal expenses? 17 Q. For personal expenses. 18 Only if I use cash. And sometimes when we run out of 19 cash, we use a credit card -- a debit card. So -- I mean, once 20 we're out of the bankruptcy. When you use -- do you ever make purchases with cash? 21 Q. 22 Yes. Α. 23 Do you account for them in your operating reports? Q. Yeah. I always get receipts. But primarily, like 24 Yeah. 25 when we go to the supermarket, I have to use cash. It's often

	Page 43
1	for personal expenses as I can because I don't want it to keep
2	being a problem on the MORs. So we do use cash. But we bring
3	the receipts back and those were accounted for at the end of
4	the month.
5	Pause
6	Q. There was not provided rent-rolls in certain operating
7	reports. Do you now provide rent-rolls in your operating
8	reports?
9	A. We do.
10	Q. Do you anticipate continuing to provide rent-rolls in your
11	operating reports?
12	A. Of course I do. Absolutely.
13	Q. To the extent that there were deficiencies that are
14	referred in the motion you read the motion, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. The deficiencies that are referred to in the motion, what
17	have you done to address them?
18	A. Which deficiencies are you referring to?
19	Q. In terms of operating reports.
20	A. I'm still not clear on the question.
21	Q. Okay. All right. Where something would be missing.
22	We've already discussed the rent-roll. If there's a
23	discrepancy, will you footnote or have Mr. Korcarz footnote the
24	discrepancy and the reason therefor.
25	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor. It's more of a

Page 44 leading question than the other leading questions have been. 1 2 He just answered his own question from the last question. 3 MR. GREENWALD: Okay. Sorry, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I don't understand the question. 4 I didn't understand the question. 5 If there are discrepancies --6 Q. When you say discrepancies, could you give me an example? 7 Α. Let's say if the rent-roll is --Q. 9 Oh. In other words, if --Α. -- one amount and the rents are another amount --10 11 Α. Right. We ---- what will you do? 12 Q. 13 As to that, absolutely. We account for that. We would account for it. Usually, that's when rent is paid to Albany 14 Commons and not directly to the entity. We then are in a 15 16 position to pay back that entity which we do, if that's what you mean by discrepancy. Okay. 17 18 Thank you. Can you tell me the role of Mr. Korcarz in the 19 reorganization process? 20 Α. In reorganization process? Well, I could tell you what his role is in terms of --21 22 In this case. Q. 23 -- our preparing the MORs --

That he's extremely active and absolutely fantastic in his

Q.

24

25

Okay, if you would.

	Page 45
1	perseverance of staying with this and making sure everything's
2	done exactly the way it should be done. We he works
3	directly with the bookkeepers in my office when they have any
4	questions as does your legal assistant. She works with us as
5	well when we have any questions. You know, we're trying to
6	fine tune this. So Hal is incredible with that. I mean, he's
7	put in a lot of time and energy to make sure those reports are
8	in on time. Sometimes I get a ride there early in the morning
9	on Sunday and get them to make sure they're typed in time to
10	get in so that the Court gets them.
11	Q. Did you ever ask permission to have a meeting with the
12	Office of the United States Trustee?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. And what was the purpose of that meeting?
15	A. The purpose of that meeting was so we would have a very
16	clear idea of what the trustees were looking for with the MORs
17	to make sure that we give it to you exactly the way you want
18	it. That was primarily really, make sure we give you
19	exactly what you want.
20	Q. And were you granted that meeting?
21	A. No, I was not.
22	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I have no further
23	questions.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. CURTIN:

Case 1-10-44189-cec Doc 67 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/12/10 12:04:38

MICHAEL FISCHMAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN

- Mr. Fischman, you are Michael Fischman, the principal of 1 Q.
- the individual case here, is that correct?
- Α. That is correct.
- You're also the principal of Prevention I and the 4 Q.
- principal of 304 Washington Avenue? 5
- 6 Α. That is also correct.
- And Prevention I was filed on October 15th of 2009 7 Ο.
- approximately?
- The --9 Α.
- 10 The bankruptcy case of Prevention I?
- 11 Α. -- petition?
- -- was filed in October of 2009? 12 Q.
- 13 You talking about the petition? Α.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- Yes, as far as I know. 15
- 16 And the petition in 304 Washington was filed in December
- of 2009? 17
- 18 To the best of my knowledge.
- And your individual case was filed in May of 2010. 19 Ο.
- 20 Α. That is for sure.
- 21 And at all times between October of 2009 and now, you've
- been involved in all three -- in all three of these bankruptcy 22
- cases as long as they've existed, correct? 23
- I'm sorry. I didn't hear your question. 24 Α.
- 25 Have you been involved in bankruptcy cases before this

Page 46

Page 47 Court since October of 2009 personally? 1 2 No, I have not, not personal bankruptcy. 3 You haven't? I used the -- have you been involved either Q. in your personal capacity or in your capacity as a principal of a corporate debtor, have you been involved in bankruptcy cases 5 6 since October 2009? Since? 7 Α. Since October 2009, yes, sir. Q. 9 I don't believe so. 10 You were not --Ο. I'm not sure -- I'm not sure I understand --11 Were you the principal of Prevention I in October 2009 12 Q. 13 when it filed for bankruptcy? Again, I'm having a little trouble hearing. I'm sorry. 14 Α. Were you the principal of Prevention I, one of the debtors 15 16 that we're talking about --17 Α. Yes. 18 -- in October of 2009? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And are you the principal of Prevention I now? Yes, I am. 21 Α. Were you the principal of 304 Washington in December of 22 2009? 23 Yes. 24 Α. 25 Are you the principal of 304 Washington now?

Page 48 Yes, I am. 1 Α. I want to talk about the orders of the Court that we've 2 been discussing. You testified that you didn't realize that Carriage House had to file a controlled entity report, is that correct? 5 6 Α. That's correct. Did you read the Court's July 2nd order that required 7 Ο. specifically that a report be filed for Carriage House? 9 One more time. I'm --Did you read the Court's order dated July 2nd that 10 11 required a controlled entity report for Carriage House? If I did, you know, it just went completely --12 13 MR. CURTIN: For the record, Mr. Fischman has taken his hand and put it over his head. 14 Meaning, it went over my head. Quite honestly, Mr. 15 16 Curtin, many of these legal documents are very new to me. 17 Q. I didn't hear you. 18 Are very new to me in terms of reading them and studying 19 them and so forth. So I have been accustomed to relying on 20 counsel to take care of things for me. I'm learning a whole different way of doing things since I'm working with my current 21 22 attorney. You testified on direct that you recently became aware 23 that Carriage House was still in existence, is that correct? 24 25 MR. GREENWALD: Objection. Mischaracterizes his

	Page 49
1	testimony.
2	MR. CURTIN: Actually, that's exactly what he said.
3	MR. GREENWALD: He said he said the existence not
4	in business.
5	THE COURT: I think that's what Mr. Curtin just said.
6	MR. GREENWALD: But he said exist forgive me. Then
7	I misheard. Your Honor, objection withdrawn. I'm sorry.
8	Q. So that was, yes, that is what you testified to?
9	A. Please ask me the question again.
10	Q. On direct examination, did you testify that you just
11	recently became aware that Carriage House was in existence.
12	A. I became aware that the corporation was still active.
13	Q. Okay. You appeared before the Office of the United States
14	Trustee and me specifically at a 341 meeting of creditors,
15	isn't that accurate?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. Okay. And didn't we talk about Carriage House at that
18	point?
19	A. We did.
20	Q. And didn't you tell me that it was an active corporation
21	at that point?
22	A. No. I said that we were using it for billing purposes but
23	I was not aware that it was still active. It's a Connecticut-
24	based corporation.
25	Q. Okay. And when we discussed reporting requirements,

Page 50 didn't I tell you that all of your entities would be required 1 to file those reports? 3 You did. Α. Thank you. Q. You absolutely did. And that went completely over my 5 6 head. Let's talk about -- you mentioned -- I have a couple of 7 specific questions regarding the appraiser that's been discussed. At a certain point in time, did you hire an 9 10 appraiser? 11 Α. Yes, I did. And did you pay that appraiser? 12 Q. 13 Yes, I did. Α. Did you seek Court approval to hire that appraiser? 14 I did not. 15 16 Did you seek Court approval to pay that appraiser? I did not. 17 Α. 18 On direct, you testified that you used the Albany Commons debit card for the Yakaputz construction expenses, is that 19 20 correct? That's correct. 21 Α. You also testified that the reason that you were using a 22 debit card was because you couldn't get a debit card for the 23 debtor-in-possession account, is that correct? 24

25

It's not that I couldn't get it. I don't believe I had it

Page 51 yet. 1 2 Q. Okay. 3 I don't believe I had had it yet. Is Yakaputz a debtor? Q. Uhh --5 Α. No. 6 Q. Isn't it correct that Yakaputz is not a debtor? MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, we'll concede it's not a 7 debtor. 9 Ο. So if --10 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that. 11 Q. Let me --MR. CURTIN: I'll withdraw the question. 12 13 Has Yakaputz filed a bankruptcy petition? Q. No. 14 Α. So couldn't Yakaputz have obtained a debit card if it 15 16 wished? Yes. Yes. And it did. 17 18 Okay. But again, your testimony was that you paid for expenses for Yakaputz out of Albany Commons. 19 20 Α. When there was no debit card for Yakaputz at that point. Let's talk about your progress in the case so far. And 21 I'll limit myself to your individual case. Have you proposed a 22 plan that can be confirmed in your individual case? 23 24 Yes. Α. 25 You have fi -- have you filed a plan before this Court, a

Case 1-10-44189-cec Doc 67

Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/12/10 12:04:38 MICHAEL FISCHMAN AND SHOSHANNA FISCHMAN Page 52 plan of reorganization? 1 We initially filed a plan of reorganization. Okay. Have you sought a hearing on confirmation of a Q. plan? 4 5 Α. I'm sorry? 6 Have you sought a hearing on confirmation of a plan?

- Not to the best of my knowledge. 7
- Have you -- there was a fair amount of discussion of sale Ο.
- 9 of properties. Have you filed any motions to sell any
- properties in either -- any of these three cases? 10
- 11 Not as of yet. I don't believe we have, have we?
- Thank you. When you received notice of the motions that 12
- 13 were filed against you by our office in these cases, you didn't
- file any motions to sell anything then, is that correct? 14
- That's correct. 15
- 16 Now, with regard to Prevention I and 304 -- let me start
- 17 with 304 Washington. Isn't it a fact that Haven Capital has a
- 18 foreclosure sale scheduled for early December for the property,
- the 304 Washington property? 19
- 20 Α. I'm not aware of that. I haven't heard anything of that.
- And isn't it a fact that the stay has been lifted as 21
- 22 against 304 Washington and they're free to proceed with that
- foreclosure if they wish? 23
- As far as I know, the stay was only lifted on my receiving 24
- 25 I was not aware that the stay was lifted for them to

Page 53 proceed with foreclosure. 1 2 Now, when your counsel asked you if there was any way that you could not use a debit card, you stated no, is that correct? (Pause) I don't believe I said no. I said it's difficult to --5 there are times when it's very difficult to --THE COURT: I cannot hear what you're saying. 7 I believe what I said a few moments ago was that it would 9 be very difficult not to use a debit card since we don't have any debit cards that are attached to our personal account. 10 11 Thank you. Sorry about that. MR. CURTIN: Just one moment, Your Honor. 12 13 (Pause) Lastly, Mr. Fischman, going back to the personal expenses 14 that were paid out of Albany Commons and I'm only going to 15 16 focus on two, the theater tickets and the Club Penguin expense. You did not pay those back immediately after they were 17 18 incurred, is that correct? That's correct. 19 20 Q. You did not pay them back after you received my motion approximately a month ago, isn't that correct? 21 That is also correct. 22 Α. And, in fact, you haven't paid them back even as we sit 23 here today, is that correct? 24 25 That's also correct.

	Page 54
1	MR. CURTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else you want to
3	put on the record, Mr you want to ask him any other
4	questions, Mr. Greenwald?
5	THE COURT: Yes, Your Honor, just a few questions.
6	THE WITNESS: May I revisit that last question, Mr.
7	Curtin? Can I revisit that last question? If those two items
8	were paid back? I
9	MR. CURTIN: No. I don't actually believe you can
10	unless the Court grants you leave to do so. I've completed my
11	questions.
12	THE COURT: I'll allow you to complete your answer to
13	that question.
14	THE WITNESS: May I?
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.
16	MR. GREENWALD: The Court says you may.
17	THE WITNESS: I must I have to admit to the Court,
18	I have a little bit of an ADD. It is quite possible we have
19	paid that back. I would have to look and see but it is
20	possible we did pay that back.
21	THE COURT: But you're not telling me that you have
22	paid it back?
23	THE WITNESS: Right. I would have to look it up to
24	see if we paid it back. It was a thirty dollar charge and 179
25	dollar charge total.

Page 55 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. GREENWALD: Q. Mr. Fischman, you indicated that the order directing the filing of the belated entity reports and the accounting went over your head, is that what you -- that's what you said, 5 6 right? 7 Α. Yes. Have you since realized the importance of paying attention to orders? 9 Have I since realized --10 Α. 11 Have you realized the importance of paying attention to Q. 12 orders? To orders? 13 Α. To orders. Written orders --14 Q. Absolutely. 15 16 Q. -- of the Court. Absolutely, yes. Court orders --17 18 Right. Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. When you receive a written order of the Court, what do you intend to do? 21 Read it very carefully and make sure I understand it and 22 take care of it. I have to satisfy it. 23 If you don't understand it, what will you do? 24 Q.

I will contact you. I'm going to ask you anyway. But

25

	Page 56
1	would need to ask you.
2	Q. And assuming that I give you at least what will be my
3	understanding of the order, what will you do as a result of
4	that?
5	A. I will comply.
6	Q. Thank you. Carriage House. When Mr. Curtin asked you
7	about the 341 meeting and your statement about Carriage House,
8	am I correct in understanding that you said that you did not
9	believe it was active. Is that what your statement was?
L 0	A. That's correct. I didn't believe it was an active
11	corporation.
12	Q. So what would that mean to you?
13	A. I was not aware I had to do any kind of filing for it,
14	reporting for it. It was just being used as a
15	Q. What is your understanding of "active" as opposed to
16	"inactive" corporation?
17	A. Well, something that's doing something, an entity that's
18	doing something, where there's receiving cash.
19	Q. Does it mean that it's in existence?
20	A and making disbursements. That's
21	Q. Does it mean that the corporation is in existence or does
22	it mean that it's doing something?
23	MR. CURTIN: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
24	answered and leading.
25	MP CPFFNWAID. Okay

	Page 57
1	Q. Okay. Mr. Curtin asked you about the sales that you were
2	describing.
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. When did these sales come about? When did these
5	agreements that were described come about?
6	A. I would say within the last five weeks, four or five
7	weeks.
8	Q. The term sheet for Yakaputz, when was that done?
9	A. Oh. We did that last week.
10	Q. Okay. The Prevention I agreement
11	A. This was about four weeks ago.
12	Q. 866. When did you receive a copy of the proposed
13	contract?
14	A. Where we both signed it? About two days ago, three days
15	ago.
16	Q. So these are all very recent
17	A. Yeah.
18	Q is that right?
19	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Curtin?
21	MR. CURTIN: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You're excused, Mr. Fischman.
23	(Pause)
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have anything you want to
25	add to the Court, any argument you want to make?

Page 58 MR. CURTIN: Briefly, Your Honor. I'm not going to address the cause. I think I sufficiently addressed that in my initial argument. I will address 1112(b)(2). There's two requirements. One, the debtor must show that there's a reasonable likelihood that a plan will be confirmed. And, two, when the grounds for granting such relief include an act or omission, which in this case they do, that there was both a reasonable justification for that act or omission and that said act or omission will be cured within a reasonable period of time fixed by the Court. Your Honor, as Mr. Fischman just testified to, there's no plan. There's no confirmation pending. There's no disclosure statement. And most notably, there's no motions to sell in spite of the fact that these cases have been pending since October and, with regard to the individual case, since May.

With regard to the second prong, the justification that has been submitted specifically for the cause of action, the 1112(b)(4)(E) cause of action, is that the orders "went over" Mr. Fischman's head. I would submit that that is not, by any stretch of the imagination, a reasonable justification.

And for that reason, the -- we've established cause. The debtor's not been able to establish, under 1112(b)(2) that the case should not be converted or dismissed. Therefore, I would ask the Court to convert the case.

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 59
1	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you, Your Honor. We've been
2	hearing as gross mismanagement to some degree is bookkeeping
3	which as been described, and which is clear basically,
4	transactions have been described. And the debtor has been able
5	to account for what has gone on.
6	The things where or what we have been seeing as
7	items that have not been paid for we have a thirty-three
8	payment at Club Penguin
9	THE COURT: Why are you taking why are individual
10	expenses being paid through the corporate entity account?
11	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, as Mr. Fischman testified,
12	a card was not available to do it.
13	THE COURT: Why is that?
14	MR. GREENWALD: And as he also testified
15	THE COURT: Why is that?
16	MR. GREENWALD: Why was a debit card not available?
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. GREENWALD: Because, as he testified, he could not
19	get one as a debtor-in-possession. He could not have a debit
20	card in connection with a debtor-in-possession account. That
21	was his testimony.
22	THE COURT: Go ahead.
23	MR. GREENWALD: So we're talking about two
24	transactions which had not been repaid. Otherwise, the
25	transactions which were described, there have been appropriate

	Page 60
1	charges made back and forth or contribution or payments made
2	between the entities using the debit card.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. GREENWALD: So that we are looking at gross
5	mismanagement is amount to two, nonpayment of the thirty-three
6	dollar charge and a 175 dollar charge which the debtor
7	testified he will pay and also he said he might might even
8	have paid. So that does not qualify as I don't believe that
9	would qualify as gross mismanagement.
10	Number two. Yes, Your Honor. The orders were not
11	complied with on a timely basis but they were complied with
12	ultimately. And Mr. Fischman gave the reason that he really
13	did not understand and appreciate. But he's also testified
14	that he will pay attention as far as what has gone on and what
15	has to be done.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Greenwald, tell me what
17	basis I would have to conclude that there is a reasonable
18	likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within a reasonable
19	time?
20	MR. GREENWALD: Very good, Your Honor. Mr. Fischman
21	has been working on getting the properties to be sold.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's talk. Let's be
23	specific here. We have this is a case where there is
24	print me the whole schedule, Tracy, please. There's 11,000
2.5	dollars or 10.000 dollars 11.000 dollars in secured debt

	Page 61
1	and 214,000 dollars in unsecured debt. Okay. You've got the
2	Hicks I think, if I'm not mistaken, that all of the
3	properties are on Mr. Fischman's individual Schedule A, even
4	the ones that are owned by
5	MR. GREENWALD: Even the ones that are owned by the
6	entities.
7	THE COURT: the corporate entities.
8	MR. GREENWALD: Yes.
9	THE COURT: So that's a complete so you've got the
10	Hicks Street property. That's not been and that is your
11	efforts to sell that have been unsuccessful, correct?
12	MR. FISCHMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I'm asking Mr. Greenwald now
14	MR. GREENWALD: Yes, Your Honor. I was about to turn
15	to the debtor yes. That's been unsuccessful.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So you've got a property that
17	and is that that property, is that tell me the status of
18	that property. It's in default, correct?
19	MR. FISCHMAN: No.
20	THE COURT: Mortgage is in default?
21	MR. GREENWALD: That is not in default. He's actually
22	current in payments on that, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So that property is not in default.
24	MR. GREENWALD: And I believe it's also self-
25	sustaining. Also, Your Honor

	Page 62
1	THE COURT: Okay. It's self-sustaining. But why
2	haven't you been able to sell it? I mean, it's according to
3	your schedule, you've got almost two million dollars on equity
4	in that property.
5	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, Mr. Fischman just provided
6	me with a copy of an activity summary report for the efforts to
7	sell it where there has been offers made and counters made. I
8	will show it to Mr
9	THE COURT: What's the I want to go through this.
10	What's the amount of debt that's on that property?
11	MR. GREENWALD: Two million one, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. And but it hasn't been
13	sold?
14	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor
15	THE COURT: And there's no contract to sell it.
16	MR. GREENWALD: I can report that, according to a
17	report that I'm holding from Hallstead, on October 15 let me
18	phrase this. On October 15th, they lowered the price to two
19	million 799. Then they got a bid on October 26th for two
20	million. And they're maintaining that the sale price should be
21	2.7. So apparently, they're looking for something in between
22	which would carry which would cover the mortgage.
23	THE COURT: That doesn't yield much of anything for
24	toward anything else. So Yakaputz property, you have that
25	listed at two million five on your schedule and you say there's

	Page 63
1	a million five on the debt. That's two million five
2	seventy-five is of debt. 195A Washington Park. Okay. What's
3	the status of that? That's in foreclosure, correct?
4	MR. GREENWALD: Yes, Your Honor. That's been in
5	foreclosure as we described. There is a term sheet which has
6	been agreed to which would inject approximately 260,000 dollars
7	into Yakaputz which would have the investor receive a fifty
8	percent interest in Yakaputz and would enable Yakaputz to cure
9	the default on the mortgage
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. GREENWALD: and reinstate the mortgage.
12	THE COURT: Okay. You don't have to be in bankruptcy
13	for that. The stay's already been lifted on that.
14	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor
15	THE COURT: So the bankruptcy's not helping you with
16	that.
17	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, number one, the debtor had
18	attempted had been trying to get the bank to do this for a
19	long time and it had not. We now do have
20	THE COURT: The bank to do what?
21	MR. GREENWALD: To agree to reinstate upon providing
22	the dollar amount, the dollar to cure. So we are in a position
23	to be able to cure it. If the bank is unwilling to
24	reinstate
25	THE COURT: Well, Yakaputz isn't in bankruptcy. You

	Page 64
1	could always put them in bankruptcy individually.
2	MR. GREENWALD: And, Your Honor, if we have to, that
3	is what we'll do. We're looking to avoid having to do that.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. GREENWALD: But we do have this we do have this
6	deal.
7	THE COURT: Okay. There's no reason for Yakaputz
8	Mr. Fischman's bankruptcy is not adding anything to the
9	Yakaputz situation.
10	MR. GREENWALD: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Okay. 886 Madison Street. That's
12	MR. GREENWALD: On 886, there is a contract for the
13	sale of the property which would be subject to a short sale.
14	The buyer's negotiating with the bank which has otherwise been
15	inactive in relation to that property. That we hope the buyer
16	will have a deal with the bank so it can be sold. If not, we
17	are contemplating going forward with a 363 sale so that the
18	bank will then decide either it's going to bid in or it is
19	going to
20	THE COURT: Again, there is nothing about the
21	bankruptcy that adds anything to this situation
22	MR. GREENWALD: On that property.
23	THE COURT: because you cannot sell this property
24	for less than the amount of the secured debt without the
25	lender's permission in bankruptcy.

	Page 65
1	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I agree with you on that.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So that the bankruptcy adds
3	nothing to do that. Or, there is no aspect of a reorganization
4	of Mr. Fischman that is going to emerge from this property.
5	MR. GREENWALD: Except that it would reduce the amount
6	of debt. The transaction we contemplate would hopefully reduce
7	the amount of debt or recourse against Mr. Fischman by that
8	lender.
9	THE COURT: But Mr. Fischman is going to get if
10	he in a Chapter 7, he's going to get a discharge anyway.
11	It's a short you're talking about a short sale. There's
12	nothing about a short sale which, first of all, you can't do
13	without the lender's permission. So there's no way that you
14	can force them to do that in this bankruptcy. And it's not
15	going to further the cause of your reorganization in this case.
16	Okay. Ford Hill Road. I guess you're not selling
17	that at this point?
18	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, there are efforts to
19	there are continuing efforts to sell it. And there's an
20	alternative they're considering: renting it as well.
21	THE COURT: Prevention I.
22	MR. GREENWALD: Forgive me, Your Honor. I apologize.
23	THE COURT: Renting is the mortgage current on Ford
24	Hill Road?
25	MR. GREENWALD: Is the mortgage current on that?

	Page 66
1	MR. CURTIN: No, Your Honor.
2	MR. GREENWALD: No, it is not.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Is the mortgage current on Madison
4	Street?
5	MR. FISCHMAN: No.
6	MR. GREENWALD: No.
7	THE COURT: Is the mortgage current on I guess it's
8	not on Yakaputz.
9	MR. GREENWALD: No.
10	MR. CURTIN: No.
11	THE COURT: Prevention I, 508 Madison Street.
12	MR. GREENWALD: That is current, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Well, what's the status of that property?
14	MR. GREENWALD: That property, that is the there is
15	a contract for sale that has been circulated. And that would
16	generate money to the estate.
17	THE COURT: How much what's the contract amount?
18	MR. GREENWALD: Approximately 175,000 dollars.
19	THE COURT: What's the amount of the secured claim?
20	(Pause)
21	MR. GREENWALD: That's 475, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: The amount of the claim is 475.
23	MR. GREENWALD: Of the mortgage is 475.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And what is the amount of the
25	proposed sale?

	Page 67
1	MR. GREENWALD: I believe the amount of the sale is
2	approximately 6.
3	MR. FISCHMAN: 690.
4	MR. GREENWALD: 690. So it'll be more than 475. It
5	could be more than 175.
6	THE COURT: What about 572-574 Madison?
7	MR. GREENWALD: That is current, Your Honor. However,
8	the debtor is considering selling it.
9	THE COURT: All right. But it's not under contract?
10	MR. GREENWALD: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. 304 Washington Avenue.
12	MR. GREENWALD: 304 Washington. Your Honor had
13	granted relief from the automatic stay to proceed up to sale.
14	As Mr. Fischman testified, there were longstanding negotiations
15	with this creditor. He is in a position to reinstate it.
16	However, despite both of our efforts and I've been asking
17	for months to get the amount of how much it takes to reinstate
18	the mortgage how much cure to reinstate the mortgage and it
19	has not been provided.
20	THE COURT: Have you done anything like is there a
21	bar date set in this case?
22	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, yes, we did do a bar date.
23	THE COURT: Well, if you needed to get information
24	from a creditor, you could get it you could come to court
25	and seek that.

	Page 68
1	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, we considered doing a 2004
2	order. But based upon my relationship with Mr. Weiner, I
3	believe we would hopefully be able to avoid that.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, I got a call from Mr. Weiner
6	yesterday and he represented that there were offers made by the
7	debtor and all those offers had been rejected and that they
8	were proceeding to foreclosure in the beginning of December.
9	MR. GREENWALD: Those were different offers
10	MR. CURTIN: That was as of last night.
11	MR. GREENWALD: That was not
12	MR. CURTIN: That was last night, Your Honor.
13	MR. GREENWALD: That was not what was being
14	discussed there, Your Honor, was not the issue of
15	reinstatement. Your Honor, forgive me if I don't disclose what
16	the settlement discussions were. But they did not go into
17	reinstatement. There were actually more than introductory
18	participations by Haven. So we are in a position to reinstate
19	that mortgage.
20	THE COURT: You are in a position to. What do you
21	mean?
22	MR. GREENWALD: Yeah. We will Mr. Fischman
23	testified that he will have the money available to reinstate
24	that mortgage to file the plan.
25	And in response to your question of when to file

	Page 69
1	when we would be filing the plan, Your Honor, we had been
2	working towards getting in a position where we can look to
3	solve the economic problems of this case which is the real
4	estate. We're now in a position to file a plan based upon the
5	real estate transactions that have been described which include
6	reinstatement, having profit being self-sustaining, selling
7	properties, getting an investor in Yakaputz which will make
8	that self-sustaining as well or more so sustaining and cure it.
9	So we're now in a position to do a plan. And I can say that we
10	can put a plan of reorganization before Your Honor within
11	thirty days.
12	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, I have to respond to this.
13	Just with regard to 304 Washington, they're talking about
14	having being in a position to reinstate the mortgage.
15	There's no money, Your Honor. I don't know what they're
16	talking about. They made some allusion to borrowing money from
17	a friend of Mrs. Fischman's wife (sic). I don't know whether
18	this is the same friend that the Court may have noticed that
19	they attempted to attempting to retain to negotiate
20	mortgages. Obviously, we would object to that if the case
21	isn't converted.
22	But, Your Honor, these are all great points. But what
23	it really comes down to is the debtor violated the order, cause
24	exists and even if the Court were to determine that a plan
25	could be confirmed within a reasonable time frame, the Court

	Page 70
1	would also have to find that there was a reasonable
2	justification for disobeying the order. And all we have is
3	that the orders went over his head.
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
5	MR. CURTIN: So it's a lot of talk about
6	THE COURT: Um-hmm.
7	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, the my understanding is
8	the person who is providing the financing is different than the
9	former banker who they would like to have negotiate eight
10	mortgages.
11	THE COURT: Is there anything else you want to put on
12	the record?
13	MR. GREENWALD: If I can just speak one second with my
14	client, Your Honor?
15	(Pause)
16	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, as I think we've
17	discussed, there are buildings that are self-sustaining.
18	Reorganization is feasible or can do is possible. It is
19	premature to convert this case now because we're really talking
20	about the Fischmans who have been in for a little more than
21	five months, maybe just around five months. And they've been
22	getting this case in order and are now in a position to do the
23	plan. That the issue is are you able as far as conversion
24	is concerned, generally, the issue is, is it possible to have a
25	reorganization. And here, the answer is yes, it is.

	Page 71
1	THE COURT: Well, I think the question that's not
2	the standard in the statute.
3	MR. GREENWALD: I understand that.
4	THE COURT: It's not the standard in the statute as
5	whether it's possible to have a reorganization.
6	MR. GREENWALD: Yes. Your Honor, I agree
7	THE COURT: It's whether there's a reasonable
8	likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within a reasonable
9	time. And that is a higher standard than "possible", much
10	higher.
11	MR. GREENWALD: Although the standard is based upon
12	where you are in the context of the case. If this case was a
13	year old then the standard is higher.
14	THE COURT: Six months into the case? That's long
15	enough. That's long enough to have particularly, in the
16	context you know, in the absence of a clause to convert the
17	case, maybe there wouldn't I wouldn't be converting it
18	necessarily just because you hadn't filed a plan at this point.
19	But in the absence of given the existence of cause to
20	convert the case then the burden falls on the debtor to make a
21	showing.
22	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, then, if nec Your
23	Honor, I would prefer to be able to file a plan in thirty days.
24	But if I have to file a plan in two weeks, I'll do it.
2.5	THE COURT. You this motion was filed three weeks

	Page 72
1	ago, a month ago, right?
2	MR. CURTIN: Yes, Your Honor, a month ago.
3	THE COURT: A month ago. You had this is your
4	opportunity to make that showing.
5	(Pause)
6	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, the as I said, I'm sure
7	the Court is aware, the real estate market is a business. And
8	that Mr. Fischman has been working very hard to try and sell
9	these properties and hopefully generate some equity to pay
10	creditors and maybe to keep himself going. A trustee a
11	Chapter 7 trustee will not get anything more for these
12	properties than will Mr. Fischman. It is not in the best
13	interest of creditors to convert.
14	THE COURT: That isn't the standard either. Well,
15	unless you say I guess it is whether it's in the best
16	interest of creditors to convert or dismiss. The other
17	possibility would be to appoint a Chapter 11 trustee.
18	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I think that would be
19	preferable to conversion.
20	THE COURT: You have a view on that, Mr. Curtin?
21	MR. CURTIN: Yes, Your Honor. I disagree. I think
22	conversion, as outlined in the motion, is the most appropriate
23	remedy. And as I mentioned, they're
24	THE COURT: Why do you think it's preferable to
25	appointing a Chapter 11 trustee?

	Page 73
1	MR. CURTIN: Why do I
2	THE COURT: Excuse me. Do you have anything else?
3	I'm giving you now complete your remarks and sit down, if
4	you would.
5	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you, Your Honor. It's my
6	understanding that dismissal is not an option. If dismissal
7	was an option, we would probably consent to dismissal. If we
8	are looking at a liquidation or an 1104 trustee, we would
9	prefer an 1104 trustee. Thank you, Your Honor.
10	(Pause)
11	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, to answer your question,
12	first of all, if the Court is inclined to appoint a Chapter 11
13	trustee, obviously I'm not going to jump on the table about
14	that. But we
15	THE COURT: Well, I want to know your thinking
16	MR. CURTIN: Yes.
17	THE COURT: about why you say conversion, not 11
18	trustee, not dismissal.
19	MR. CURTIN: Well, there's a couple reasons, Your
20	Honor. It really has to do with the numbers. And Your Honor
21	touched on a lot of those. But this is a case where it doesn't
22	seem and nobody has a crystal ball and can predict what will
23	happen. But what it seems like is that these properties Mr.
24	Fischman is a has a business other than these other than
25	these buildings. And these buildings, quite frankly, based on

	Page 74			
1	what the numbers show, need to be sold. And there's not			
2	it's not a position it's not a case where, as Your Honor, I			
3	think, went through when you were questioning the debtor based			
4	on or Mr. Greenwald based on the schedules it's not a			
5	case where there's some what we sometimes refer to as a White			
6	Knight property where there's this ball of equity that can be			
7	used to pay off loans on some of the other properties. It's			
8	simply not that case.			
9	And, Your Honor, I think, quite frankly, what would			
10	happen is if we appointed a Chapter 11 trustee, I think it			
11	would be converted pretty soon after that anyway.			
12	THE COURT: Well, then why not why are you			
13	convinced that dismissal is inappropriate? Each of the			
14	assets of this entity consist of secured debt, basically, that			
15	is rather, properties that are subject to secured claims.			
16	MR. CURTIN: Your			
17	THE COURT: There is unsecured debt, personal debt of			
18	Mr. and Mrs. Fischman, but, you know, I question whether they'd			
19	get much in a 7 anyway.			
20	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, you may be right. But my			
21	point is that it's worth a shot. It's worth a shot to convert			
22	the case, appoint a trustee. Your Honor, I've outlined ten			
23	pages of disclosed transactions that were inappropriate. Who			
24	knows what else there is? Who knows what causes of action			
25	exist for a trustee to pursue? And if there's nothing there,			

	Page 75				
1	there's nothing there. But it's not a case in our view that				
2	should simply be dismissed. And quite frankly, I don't know				
3	that benefit is sufficient. I think out of the three,				
4	dismissal is probably the worst for them. But that's not my				
5	place to say. And we ask for conversion. A trustee and if				
6	the trustee the Chapter 7 trustee wants to operate, there's				
7	obviously a means for him or her to do that.				
8	THE COURT: All right. Did you want to say something?				
9	MR. NAGI: Yes, Your Honor. Jason Nagi on behalf of				
10	City National Bank. With respect to the three properties, City				
11	National Bank has a secured collateral.				
12	THE COURT: And which are those?				
13	MR. NAGI: That's 866 Madison Avenue. That's the one				
14	where there's a proposed short sale.				
15	THE COURT: Uh-huh.				
16	MR. NAGI: Prevention I, which is 508 Madison Avenue.				
17	And then 572-574 Madison Avenue where there's no contract. But				
18	the one thing that we would like to point out is that four				
19	months on Prevention for four weeks, there's been a contract				
20	on Prevention Inc. Nothing has been showed to the Court. So				
21	we've heard talk about it but				
22	THE COURT: So your client has a mortgage on all three				
23	properties?				
24	MR. NAGI: On all those three properties.				
25	THE COURT: Is it to secure are they separate				

	Page 76					
1	mortgages or one that covers all three?					
2	MR. NAGI: They're separate mortgages.					
3	THE COURT: Okay. And are you the senior lender on					
4	these properties?					
5	MR. NAGI: We are. I don't believe that there's a					
6	second lien lender but I've had this case for about a day and a					
7	half so it's really hard to					
8	THE COURT: All right.					
9	MR. NAGI: to get all the facts in time for this					
10	hearing.					
11	THE COURT: Okay. Continue what you were going to					
12	say.					
13	MR. NAGI: Sure. We fully intend to be involved. And					
14	from what it looks like, I think that City National Bank would					
15	be inclined to move to lift the stay for these properties					
16	because we do not believe that there is a reorganization that's					
17	reasonably within prospect within a reasonable amount of time.					
18	And that's really all that I would like to add to the Court at					
19	this time.					
20	THE COURT: All right.					
21	MR. NAGI: If I may just approach to provide my card.					
22	I can do it after if Your Honor would like.					
23	THE COURT: Why don't you wait?					
24	MR. NAGI: Sure.					
25	THE COURT: All right. Anybody else want to say					

	Page 77
1	anything?
2	MR. CURTIN: No, Your Honor.
3	MR. GREENWALD: If I may?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MR. GREENWALD: To be very brief. If we can have a
6	month to put this together, adjourn Your Honor's decision so we
7	can do what we say we're going to do and we'll, of course,
8	work with counsel, the U.S. trustee's office. So this way we
9	can make the motions, we'll get the plan of reorganization.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: All right. This is my ruling on the
13	United States trustee's motion to convert or dismiss Mr. and
14	Mrs. Fischman's individual case. I think the record clearly
15	shows that the trustee has demonstrated that there is cause to
16	convert or dismiss this case as outlined in Section 1112(b)(4)
17	in that there was failure by the debtor to comply with two
18	orders of the Court. The argument is made that they were
19	complied with but complied with late. As to one of them, I
20	don't believe it was complied with at all but if it was
21	complied with, it was complied with two months late. And the
22	other one, the same is true. If there was compliance, it was
23	substantially late. And the order set a deadline. The
24	deadline had to be complied with. As with respect to I
25	should say the second order, I quess, was the order that

required reporting as to Carriage House. And that was never
complied with except, I guess, to the extent that Mr. Greenwald
may have included the information in the response to the
motion. But in either event, there was not compliance with the
terms of the order. And so there is cause as outlined in
Section 1112(b)(4) to convert or dismiss this case. No
question about it. It is crystal clear on the record that
there has been a failure to comply with an order of the Court
and not a one-day mistake but a multiple-day mistake, multiple-
day failure to comply.

And disclosure in the context of a Chapter 11 case, particularly a case where the debtor owns multiple properties in multiple entities commingles funds where there has been history of funds going back and forth -- disclosure is key.

And that is why these orders were entered. And they were not complied with. And that is conceded.

So that that leaves us to the question of whether the debtor has established that there is a basis under Section 1112(b)(2) to not con -- to defer or not to convert the case or to dismiss the case based on satisfaction of the prongs under 1112(b)(2)(A) and (B). And 1112(b)(2)(B) requires that in order to avoid the mandatory consequence of conversion or dismissal, the debtor has to establish or some other party has to establish that the grounds for relief include an accurate omission for which there exists a reasonable justification for

Page 78

Page 79

the act or omission and that will be cured within a reasonable period of time.

I don't find that the debtor saying that the orders went over his head, that he blanked out on them, that he didn't understand is a reasonable justification. Mr. Fischman is a Chapter 11 debtor. He's undertaken the responsibility to function as a debtor-in-possession and he is held to that standard. He is assisted by two professionals, by an attorney and an accountant. The idea that he thinks that he can tell me that it just went over his head and that is somehow going to be a reasonable excuse for not complying with an order is simply an unacceptable excuse. And I don't consider that to be an excuse that constitutes a reasonable justification for failure to comply with two separate court orders requiring reporting.

Nor do I believe that Mr. Fischman's testimony has established that there is a reasonable likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within a reasonable time. He has talked about various real estate transactions that he is contemplating although there's been no documentary proof that substantiates any of -- that proffers -- that substantiates any of the testimony that he's made concerning transactions that he thinks -- that he hopes to enter into is, I'd say, speculative at this point. And it is entirely unclear whether any of these transactions will either be accomplished or whether they would yield any benefit that would be able -- that would make it

	Page 80
1	possible for the debtor to reorganize. And certainly no
2	outline has been proffered as to how that would how that
3	exactly that would accomplish, what would be paid to unsecured
4	creditors, anything of that nature. So I think that the
5	testimony that's proffered, the unsubstantiated testimony
6	that's been proffered does not satisfy, in my view, the
7	requirement of establishing that there is a reasonable
8	likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within a reasonable
9	time.
10	For that reason, I am granting the motion by the
11	United States trustee. And I agree with the United States
12	trustee that, in these circumstances, conversion is the more
13	appropriate alternative. I think that there although I
14	would consider the possibility of a Chapter 11 trustee, I think
15	there is probably it probably doesn't make much of a
16	difference because a Chapter 7 trustee, as Mr. Curtin has
17	this is really a liquidating case either way. So a Chapter 7
18	trustee can operate the business and a Chapter 11 trustee can
19	sell assets. So in this instance, I think that since this is
20	really fundamentally a liquidating case that it is appropriate
21	to appoint a Chapter 7 trustee.
22	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, Mr. Fischman asked if he
23	could address the Court.
24	THE COURT: I've made my ruling.
25	MR. GREENWALD: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fischman had

	Page 81
1	indicated to me that if Your Honor granted the relief you have
2	that he would want to take an appeal. Accordingly, I'd ask the
3	Court would grant a stay pending appeal.
4	THE COURT: You will have to explain to me why there
5	would be grounds for a stay pending appeal.
6	MR. GREENWALD: Well, there would be substantial
7	prejudice to the debtor if the trustee were to come and take
8	hold and start selling properties before the appeal was
9	determined. The actions by a Chapter 7 trustee can regularly
10	render the decision on appeal moot and make the appeal
11	dismissible for moot. So there's substantial prejudice to the
12	debtors by not maintaining the status quo.
13	THE COURT: So you think a Chapter 7 trustee is going
14	to come in and sell things tomorrow?
15	MR. GREENWALD: I do not think a Chapter 7 trustee
16	will come and sell things tomorrow. However, considering how
17	long appeals can take, it is possible that sales will occur
18	before then or the trustee might take action which would impact
19	upon existing deals and the debtors well-being in the meantime.
20	THE COURT: I'm going to respectfully deny this
21	well, I'll hear from Mr. Curtin. Mr. Curtin, what's your view
22	on a stay pending appeal?
23	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, we oppose it. And the prong
24	that hasn't been addressed is the reasonable likelihood of
25	success. And I would submit that based upon Your Honor's

	Page 82				
1	ruling, it's cut and dry. There is no reasonable likelihood of				
2	success. There really is no possibility of success in our				
3	position. So, Your Honor, for those reasons Your Honor				
4	would have to find both that and the harm. So you don't even				
5	need to get to the harm if you don't find the reasonable				
6	likelihood.				
7	THE COURT: Well, I think that Mr. Curtin is correct				
8	that there is not a reasonable likelihood of success nor even a				
9	fair prospect of success on appeal given the fact that there				
L O	has been clear noncompliance with orders of the Court. So				
L1	there is admittedly, I think there's been admitted that				
L2	there is noncompliance. So I think there's clearly grounds				
L3	under 1112(b). And it is, to me, equally clear that for the				
L4	debtor-in-possession to say that orders of the Court went over				
15	his head or that he blanked out or something of that nature				
16	does not constitute a reasonable justification for a failure to				
L7	comply with an order of the Court.				
L8	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor				
19	THE COURT: So				
20	MR. GREENWALD: in which case? If Mr. Fischman				
21	THE COURT: Nor do I think that there is an immediate				
22	prospect of irreparable harm if a Chapter 7 trustee is				
23	appointed because I know that the debtor will be able to				
24	communicate with the Chapter 7 trustee. If the debtor feels				
25	that there is a transaction that the debtor thinks would be				

	Page 83
1	more favorable for the estate, you can make a motion at that
2	point. So I don't you can seek Court approval. You can
3	seek
4	MR. GREENWALD: If there's a motion for a sale, the
5	debtor can object to it.
6	THE COURT: Can object to it.
7	MR. GREENWALD: I understand.
8	THE COURT: If the debtor has a transaction, the
9	debtor can propose that transaction. Debtor can seek
10	reconversion to an 11 if you have a plan.
11	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, again, Mr. Fischman asks
12	if he can address Your Honor.
13	MR. FISCHMAN: I have something I would like to say,
14	Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MR. FISCHMAN: Do I need to go into that place or I
17	would just like to say that I feel I'm being denied due
18	process. And as a result of that, I'm going to file an appeal
19	with an interlocutory it's going to be an interlocutory
20	adversary appeal that I'll be filing because I feel I am not
21	being granted due process here. We are in the process of
22	getting things done. We're in the process of solving this 11
23	and we're not being given the time. I feel I'm not being given
24	due process here, Your Honor. And for that reason, unless Your
25	Honor will reconsider, I will be filing an appeal and it will

	Page 84				
1	be an interlocutory adversary appeal. So I would like Your				
2	Honor to reconsider this and give us at least one more month to				
3	bring to fruition everything we say we can do.				
4	THE COURT: I'm not changing my ruling.				
5	MR. FISCHMAN: I'm sorry?				
6	THE COURT: I am not changing my ruling.				
7	MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, I appreciate Your Honor				
8	considering the motion for the stay pending appeal.				
9	Considering that Your Honor has denied it				
10	THE COURT: I have denied it, yes.				
11	MR. GREENWALD: if Mr. Fischman seeks a stay				
12	pending appeal, he would like to go directly to the district				
13	court as opposed to having to first come here which Your Honor				
14	has already denied the motion.				
15	THE COURT: Yes.				
16	MR. GREENWALD: So we may go directly to the district				
17	court?				
18	THE COURT: Yes, you may.				
19	MR. GREENWALD: Thank you very much, Your Honor.				
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Curtin, will you submit				
21	your order, please?				
22	MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, I submitted one with the				
23	motion. But I can submit another one.				
24	THE COURT: Do you need to I mean, have we received				
25	it in electronic form?				

```
Page 85
           (Pause)
1
 2
               MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, I can upload it right when I
     get back.
 3
 4
           (Pause)
               THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you.
 5
 6
               MR. CURTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
               MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, thank you again.
               THE COURT: No. I'm just converting the Fischman
9
      case. They will be under the jurisdiction of the trustee.
10
           (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 5:22 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 86		86
1				
2		I N D E X		
3				
4	TESTIMONY			
5				
6	WITNESS	EXAM BY	PAGE	LINE
7	Michael Fischman	Mr. Greenwald	24	5
8	Michael Fischman	Mr. Curtin	46	1
9	Michael Fischman	Mr. Greenwald	55	1
10				
11				
12		R U L I N G S		
13	DESCRIPTION		PAGE	LINE
14	Motion of the Chapter 11 trustee to convert		80	10
15	the case (no. 10-44189) t	o Chapter 7 granted;		
16	Chapter 7 trustee will be	e appointed	80	21
17	Motion to lift stay pendi	ng appeal denied;	84	10
18	debtor may go directly to	district court	84	18
19	and not have to come firs	t to bankruptcy		
20	court			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

	Page 87
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Lisa Bar-Leib, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
8	LISA BAR-LEIB (CET**D-486)
9	AAERT Electronic Certified Transcriber
10	
11	Veritext
12	200 Old Country Road
13	Suite 580
14	Mineola, New York 11501
15	
16	Date: November 10, 2010
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	